



State of Utah

**Department of
Natural Resources**

MICHAEL R. STYLER
Executive Director

**Division of
Oil, Gas & Mining**

JOHN R. BAZA
Division Director

JON M. HUNTSMAN, JR.
Governor

GARY R. HERBERT
Lieutenant Governor

July 29, 2005

CERTIFIED RETURN RECEIPT
7002 0510 0003 8602 8727

Mr. Mark Dotson
Western Utah Copper
1208 South 200 West,
P. O. Box 492
Milford, Utah 84751

Subject: Proposed Assessment for State Cessation Order No. MC-2005-04-02,
Western Utah Copper, Palladon (M/001/067), Beaver County, Utah

Dear Mr. Dotson:

The undersigned has been appointed by the Division of Oil, Gas & Mining as the Assessment Officer for assessing penalties under R647-7.

Enclosed is the proposed civil penalty assessment for the above referenced cessation order. The cessation order was issued by Division Inspector, Tom Munson, on June 24, 2005. Rule R647-7-103 et. seq. has been utilized to formulate the proposed penalty for the violation as follows:

- MC-2005-04-02(1)- Violation 1 of 1 \$396

The enclosed worksheet specifically outlines how the violation was assessed.

By these rules, any written information which was submitted by you or your agent within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this Cessation Order has been considered in determining the facts surrounding the violation and the amount of penalty. If the violation has not been abated at the time of the proposed assessment, the assignment of good faith points cannot be made. If you feel that you are eligible for good faith, you should supply relevant information to the assessment officer within 15 days of the violation abatement date so that it can be factored into the final assessment.

Mark Dotson
Page 2 of 2
M/001/067
July 29, 2005

Otherwise, under R647-7-106, there are two informal appeal options available to you:

1. If you wish to informally appeal the fact of the Cessation Order, you should file a written request for an Informal Conference within thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter. This conference will be conducted by the Division Director or Associate Director. This Informal Conference is distinct from the Assessment Conference regarding the proposed penalty.
2. If you wish to review the proposed penalty assessment, you should file a written request for an Assessment Conference within thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter. If you are also requesting a review of the fact of violation, as noted in paragraph one, the assessment conference will be scheduled immediately following that review.

If a timely request for review is not made, the fact of the cessation order will stand, the proposed penalty(ies) will become final, and the penalty(ies) will be due and payable within thirty (30) days of the final assessment. Please remit payment to the Division, mail c/o Vickie Southwick.

Sincerely,



Daron R. Haddock
Assessment Officer

Enclosure: Worksheets

cc: Vickie Southwick, Exec. Sec.
Vicki Bailey, Accounting

P:\GROUPS\MINERALS\WP\M001-Beaver\M0010067-WUCC\non-compliance\proAssessment-COLtr072905.doc

WORKSHEET FOR ASSESSMENT OF PENALTIES
DIVISION OF OIL, GAS & MINING
Minerals Regulatory Program

COMPANY / MINE Mark Dotson/ Western Utah Copper PERMIT M/001/067

NOV / CO # MC-2005-04-02(1) VIOLATION 1 of 1

ASSESSMENT DATE July 28, 2005

ASSESSMENT OFFICER Daron R. Haddock

I. HISTORY (Max. 25 pts.) (R647-7-103.2.11)

- A. Are there previous violations, which are not pending or vacated, which fall within three (3) years of today's date?

PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS	EFFECTIVE DATE	POINTS (1pt for NOV 5pts for CO)
<u>none</u>	<u></u>	<u></u>
<u></u>	<u></u>	<u></u>

TOTAL HISTORY POINTS 0

II. SERIOUSNESS (Max 45pts) (R647-7-103.2.12)

NOTE: For assignment of points in Parts II and III, the following apply:

1. Based on facts supplied by the inspector, the Assessment Officer will determine within each category where the violation falls.
2. Beginning at the mid-point of the category, the Assessment Officer will adjust the points up or down, utilizing the inspector's and operator's statements as guiding documents.

Is this an EVENT (A) or Administrative (B) violation? Event
(assign points according to A or B)

A. EVENT VIOLATION (Max 45 pts.)

1. What is the event which the violated standard was designed to prevent?

2. What is the probability of the occurrence of the event which a violated standard was designed to prevent?

<u>PROBABILITY</u>	<u>RANGE</u>
None	0
Unlikely	1-9
Likely	10-19
Occurred	20

ASSIGN PROBABILITY OF OCCURRENCE POINTS 20

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

*** *An Operator is required to obtain a permit from the Division of Oil Gas and Mining prior to conducting mining operations. Several acres have been disturbed at this location without revising the permit to do so. While the Operator has a permit for a small mine, which allowed disturbance up to 5 acres, the operation has expanded to more than 7 acres. Disturbance has actually occurred.*

3. What is the extent of actual or potential damage? RANGE 0-25

In assigning points, consider the duration and extent of said damage or impact, in terms of area and impact on the public or environment.

ASSIGN DAMAGE POINTS 8

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

*** *The inspector stated that the operator has disturbed approximately 7.3 acres of land while only 5 acres had been approved for disturbance. The damage was primarily the loss of vegetation and compaction of soil on the area disturbed. Further discussion with the inspector revealed that the damage is probably temporary. While much of the soil and vegetation have been driven over and compacted by heavy equipment, the site could still be reclaimed. Damage is accessed in the lower 1/3 of the range.*

B. ADMINISTRATIVE VIOLATIONS (Max 25pts)

1. Is this a POTENTIAL or ACTUAL hindrance to enforcement?
RANGE 0-25

Assign points based on the extent to which enforcement is actually or potentially hindered by the violation.

ASSIGN HINDRANCE POINTS

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS (A or B) 28

III. DEGREE OF FAULT (Max 30 pts.) (R647-7-103.2.13)

- A. Was this an inadvertent violation which was unavoidable by the exercise of reasonable care? IF SO--NO NEGLIGENCE; or, was this a failure of a permittee to prevent the occurrence of a violation due to indifference lack of diligence, or lack of reasonable care, the failure to abate any violation due to the same or was economic gain realized by the permittee? IF SO--GREATER DEGREE OF FAULT THAN NEGLIGENCE.

No Negligence	0
Negligence	1-15
Greater Degree of Fault	16-30

STATE DEGREE OF NEGLIGENCE Negligence

ASSIGN NEGLIGENCE POINTS 5

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

*** *The inspector indicated that the operator had regraded the site and did not realize he had expanded his disturbance beyond what was allowed. He had not kept careful track of how many acres he had disturbed. This indicates indifference to the rules or lack of reasonable care. A prudent operator would understand the need to provide a revised NOI prior to expanding an area. The Operator was somewhat negligent in this regard, thus the assignment of points in the lower part of the negligence range.*

IV. GOOD FAITH (Max 20 pts.) (R467-7-103.2.14)

(Either A or B) (Does not apply to violations requiring no abatement measures)

- A. Did the operator have onsite, the resources necessary to achieve compliance of the violated standard within the permit area?
IF SO--EASY ABATEMENT

Easy Abatement Situation

- Immediate Compliance -11 to -20*
(Immediately following the issuance of the NOV)
- Rapid Compliance -1 to -10
(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)
- Normal Compliance 0
(Operator complied within the abatement period required)
(Operator complied with condition and/or terms of approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)

*Assign in upper of lower half of range depending on abatement occurring the 1st or 2nd half of abatement period.

- B. Did the permittee not have the resources at hand to achieve compliance, or does the situation require the submission of plans prior to physical activity to achieve compliance?

IF SO--DIFFICULT ABATEMENT

Difficult Abatement Situation

- Rapid Compliance -11 to -20*
(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)
- Normal Compliance -1 to -10*
(Operator complied within the abatement period required)
- Extended Compliance 0
(Permittee took minimal actions for abatement to stay within the limits of the NOV or the violated standard of the plan submitted for abatement was incomplete)
(Permittee complied with conditions and/or terms of approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)

EASY OR DIFFICULT ABATEMENT? Difficult

ASSIGN GOOD FAITH POINTS 15

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

*** *The operator was very diligent in trying to get the abatement completed as soon as possible. He had completed reclaiming the site below 5 acres and delineated the operations area within a couple of days of the inspection. He had secured the required reclamation bond by June 27, 2005. The one abatement requirement that took longer (July 20th) was providing a map showing the location of the five-acre area where operations will continue. For the most part I consider the abatement having been completed rapidly so I will award points in the rapid compliance category, although I am withholding 5 points from the maximum, because of the length of time required to supply the map.*

V. ASSESSMENT SUMMARY (R647-7-103.3)

NOTICE OF VIOLATION # <u>MC-04-02-01(1)</u>	
I. TOTAL HISTORY POINTS	<u>0</u>
II. TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS	<u>28</u>
III. TOTAL NEGLIGENCE POINTS	<u>5</u>
IV. TOTAL GOOD FAITH POINTS	<u>-15</u>
TOTAL ASSESSED POINTS	<u>18</u>
 TOTAL ASSESSED FINE	 <u>\$ 396</u>